

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET	NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/678,400	10/03/2003 Robert M. Congdon		LOT9-2003-0027-U (7321-	S1 3520	
46321 CAREY, ROD	7590 06/14/200 RIGUEZ, GREENBER			EXAMINER	
STEVEN M. G	*		EL CH	EL CHANTI, HUSSEIN A	
SUITE 3020	LA CONFORATE CIN	CLE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOCA RATON	N, FL 33487		2157		
			MAIL DATE '	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		(
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/678,400	CONGDON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hussein A. El-chanti	2157				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.4 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 C	October 2003.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	, 					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application). 1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	its have been received. Its have been received in Applica Drity documents have been receive Bu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summai Paper No(s)/Mail I	Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/03	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application				

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive application filed Oct. 3, 2003. Claims 1-14 are pending examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1 – 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

MPEP 2106 IV.B.2.(b)

A claim that requires one or more acts to be performed defines a process. However, not all processes are statutory under 35 U.S.C. 101. Schrader, 22 F.3d at 296, 30 USPQ2d at 1460, to be statutory, a claimed computer-related process must either: (A) result in a physical transformation outside the computer for which a practical application in the technological arts is either disclosed in the specification or would have been known to a skilled artisan, or (B) be limited to a practical application within the technological arts.

3. Claims 1-14, in view of the above cited MPEP sections, are not statutory because they merely recite a number of computing steps without producing any tangible result and/or being limited to a practical application within the technological arts. The "use of a computer" has not been indicated.

The disclosure of the application defines the "mail server cell" as a part of an application and therefore the mail server cell is not a device but merely a software application (see spec page 5, 2cd paragraph).

The disclosure of the application also defines the "application server" and "IMAP server" as an application and not a device (see spec page 9, paragraph 1 and 2).

Application/Control Number: 10/678,400 Page 3

Art Unit: 2157

(2) "TANGIBLE RESULT"

The tangible requirement does not necessarily mean that a claim must either be tied to a particular machine or apparatus or must operate to change articles or materials to a different state or thing. However, the tangible requirement does require that the claim must recite more than a § 101 judicial exception, in that the process claim must set forth a practical application of that § 101 judicial exception to produce a real-world result.

Benson, 409 U.S. at 71-72, 175 USPQ at 676-77 (invention ineligible because had "no substantial practical application."). "[A]n application of a law of nature or mathematical formula to a ... process may well be deserving of patent protection." Diehr, 450 U.S. at 187, 209 USPQ at 8 (emphasis added); see also Corning, 56 U.S. (15 How.) at 268, 14 L.Ed. 683 ("It is for the discovery or invention of some practical method or means of producing a beneficial result or effect, that a patent is granted . . ."). In other words, the opposite meaning of "tangible" is "abstract."

4. Claims 1 - 14, in view of the above cited MPEP sections, are not statutory because they merely recite a number of computing steps without producing any tangible result and/or being limited to a practical application within the technological arts.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

Application/Control Number: 10/678,400

Art Unit: 2157

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by DeAnna et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,947,943 (referred to hereafter as Anna).

As to claim 1, Anna teaches a collaborative messaging system, a mail server cell comprising:

a logical grouping of application server nodes disposed within an application server (see col. 5 lines 46-60, col. 7 lines 7-35 and col. 3 lines 64-col. 4 lines 7, the Zeospehere server has a plurality of applications for communicating with a plurality of servers and devices);

an Internet Message Access Protocol (IMAP) compliant mail server coupled to said logical grouping of application server nodes (see col. 15 lines 5-15 and col. 16 lines 28-47, the Zeosphere server has an IMAP compliant application coupled to the plurality of other applications); and,

at least one data store configured for storing electronic mail messages processed in said IMAP compliant mail server (see col. 15 lines 43-54, the Zeosphere has a Mail receiver i.e. "data store" that stores messages).

As to claim 2, Anna teaches the mail server cell of claim 1, wherein said IMAP compliant mail server comprises a simple mail transfer protocol (SMTP) inbound request handler (mail receiver 173, fig. 4), an SMTP outbound request handler (mail sender 175, fig. 4), and a set of IMAP services (applications 171, 172 and mail

Art Unit: 2157

processor, fig. 4) coupled to said SMTP inbound and outbound request handlers (see fig. 4 and col. 16 lines 28-54).

As to claim 3, Anna teaches the mail server cell of claim 1, wherein said IMAP compliant mail server comprises a set of platform independent classes operable in a virtual machine environment (see col. 3 lines 50-60, Zeosphere server is implemented in a virtual machine environment).

As to claim 4, Anna teaches the mail server cell of claim 1, wherein said virtual machine environment is the Java virtual machine environment (see col. 4 lines 9-20 and col. 3 lines 50-60, the Zeosphere server is implemented in a JVM environment).

As to claim 5, Anna teaches the mail server cell of claim 4, wherein said IMAP compliant mail server comports with the J2EE specification (see col. 4 lines 9-20, J2EE implementation).

As to claim 6, Anna teaches the mail server cell of claim 1, further comprising a communicative coupling to authentication services provided through said application server (see col. 8 lines 4-9 and col. 5 lines 46-55).

As to claim 7, Anna teaches the mail server cell of claim 1, further comprising a communicative coupling to auto-provisioning services provided through the collaborative messaging system (see col. 7 lines 55-60, the Zeospehere is uses iMessenger to send and receive messages).

Application/Control Number: 10/678,400

Art Unit: 2157

As to claim 8, Anna teaches the mail server cell of claim 1, further comprising a communicative coupling to a portal/portlet interface to said IMAP compliant mail server (see col. 16 lines 28-40).

As to claim 9, Anna teaches a collaborative messaging application comprising:

an Internet Message Access Protocol (IMAP) compliant mail server configured for operation within an n-tier enterprise environment provided by an application server (see col. 14 lines 31-45, col. 15 lines 5-15 and col. 16 lines 28-47, Zeosphere server is an IMAP compliant server that is based on a three-tier application);

a markup language driven interface to said IMAP compliant mail server disposed within a Web container in said application server (see col. 14 lines 5-65, the Zeosphere uses a GUI that is programmed using XML i.e. "markup language");

a data store configured to store messages process by said IMAP compliant mail server (see col. 15 lines 43-54, the Zeosphere has a Mail receiver i.e. "data store" that stores messages); and,

a directory configured to provide configuration and addressing data to said IMAP compliant mail server (see col. 15 lines 43-54 and col. 13 lines 50-col. 14 lines 30).

As to claim 10, Anna teaches the collaborative messaging application of claim 9, wherein said IMAP compliant mail server comprises a set of platform independent classes programmed for execution in a virtual machine and specifically operable in said

Application/Control Number: 10/678,400

Art Unit: 2157

n-tier enterprise environment (see col. 4 lines 9-20 and col. 3 lines 50-60, the Zeosphere server is implemented in a JVM environment).

As to claim 11, Anna teaches the collaborative messaging application of claim 10, wherein said virtual machine is a Java virtual machine and wherein said n-tier enterprise environment is J2EE (see col. 4 lines 9-20 and col. 3 lines 50-60, the Zeosphere server is implemented in a JVM environment using J2EE).

As to claim 12, Anna teaches the collaborative messaging application of claim 9, further comprising an administrative console coupled to a messaging administrative plug-in to said console facilitate management of said IMAP compliant mail server, said markup language driven interface, said data store and said directory (see col. 4 lines 59-col. 5 lines 25 and col. 5 lines 61-col. 6 lines 24).

As to claim 13, Anna teaches the collaborative messaging application of claim 9, further comprising authentication logic disposed within said application server and communicatively linked to said IMAP compliant mail server for use by said IMAP compliant mail server (see col. 8 lines 4-9 and col. 5 lines 46-55).

As to claim 14, Anna teaches the collaborative messaging application of claim 9, further comprising auto-provisioning logic disposed within the collaborative messaging application and communicatively linked to said IMAP compliant mail server for use by said IMAP compliant mail server (see col. 16 lines 25-55).

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2157

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hussein A. El-chanti whose telephone number is (571)272-3999. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571)272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Hussem Charti